

1/2
DAC
RECEIVED

NOV 17 2000

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

WILLIAMS, MORGAN & AMERSON, P.C.

7676 HILLMONT, SUITE 250, HOUSTON, TX 77040
(713) 934-7000 FAX (713) 934-7011

Danny L. Williams
Terry D. Morgan
J. Mike Amerson
Kenneth D. Goodman
Barbara S. Kitchell, Ph.D.
Jeffrey A. Pyle
Randall C. Furlong, Ph.D.

RECEIVED

NOV 24 2000

TELECENTER 1500/2900

Scott F. Diring*
George J. Oehling*
Shelley P.M. Fussey, Ph.D.*
Mark D. Moore, Ph.D.*
Louis H. Iselin, Ph.D.*
Raymund F. Eich, Ph.D.*
Bradley A. Misley*
Thomas H. Belvin, Jr.*

*Patent Agent

WRITER'S DIRECT DIAL
(713) 934-4079

November 13, 2000

FILE: 4200.000200



CERTIFICATE OF MAILING 37 C.F.R. 1.8	
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service with sufficient postage as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231, on the date below:	
November 13, 2000	
Date	Shelley P.M. Fussey

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, DC 20231

RE: *U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 08/951,188; Entitled: "P-TEFb Compositions, Methods and Screening Assays"; David Price (Client Reference: UIRF N7-20)*

Sir:

Enclosed for filing in the above-referenced patent application is:

- (1) Petition Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.181 to Withdraw Finality of Third and Fourth Office Actions Under MPEP 706.07(d);
- (2) A Second Request for Refund of Improper Charge;
- (3) A check in the amount of \$130.00 to cover the required petition fee; and
- (4) A return postcard to acknowledge receipt of these materials. Please date stamp and mail this postcard.

WILLIAMS, MORGAN & AMERSON, P.C.

Assistant Commissioner for Patents

November 13, 2000

Page 2

Should any additional fees under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 to 1.21 be required for any reason relating to the enclosed materials, the Assistant Commissioner is authorized to deduct said fees from Williams, Morgan & Amerson, P.C. Deposit Account No. 50-0786/4200.000200.

NOV 24 2000

Respectfully submitted,



Shelley P.M. Fussey, Ph.D.

Reg. No. 39,458

ENTER 11/24/2000

Encls.

generally maintained that the fee deduction was proper, based on the assessment of certain claims as multiply-dependent claims. Applicant respectfully submits that there are no multiply-dependent claims pending in the present application and that the claims have been misinterpreted.

Applicant submitted to the Office on July 17, 2000 a facsimile document explaining that a proper interpretation of the claims at issue shows that they are not multiply-dependent claims. Notably, the claims at issue are directed to different subject matter, so that they do not depend on, or further limit, any other claim. For example, a claim drawn to "a recombinant host cell" cannot depend from, or further limit, a claim drawn to "a nucleic acid molecule".

Accordingly, there are no multiply-dependent claims in the case and Applicant respectfully requests that the fees deducted on such grounds such be refunded in full. In the alternative, Applicant respectfully requests that a written answer on the merits be provided.

Should the Office have any questions, a telephone call to Applicant's undersigned representative is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,



Shelley P.M. Fussey, Ph.D.
Reg. No. 39,458
Agent for Applicant

WILLIAMS, MORGAN & AMERSON
7676 Hillmont, Suite 250
Houston, Texas 77040
(713) 934-7000

Date: November 13, 2000

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

BEST AVAILABLE COPY